

Natural Resources

Pre-proposal Evaluation Criteria

Pre-proposals should describe an effort in sufficient detail that reviewers can determine if asking for a Full Proposal is warranted. With this in mind, please evaluate each pre-proposal using the following criteria: 0=does not meet criteria, 1=partially meets criteria, 2=fully meets criteria.

1. Promotes mission readiness.

- Does the pre-proposal support, protect, enable, and/or enhance testing, training, or operational activities?
- Does the pre-proposal fit within a current Area of Emphasis?

2. Promotes Strategic Goals.

- Does the pre-proposal support broader mission or programmatic objectives, including those of other agencies or programs?
- Does the pre-proposal support current OSD-level program priorities (e.g., partnering for off-base conservation, mitigating impacts from climate change, strategic plan goals)?

3. Works with state, federal, and/or NGO partners.

- Does the pre-proposal augment, coordinate with, or otherwise complement similar or relevant ongoing efforts?
- Does the pre-proposal support priorities in the appropriate State Wildlife Action Plan (<http://teaming.com/state-wildlife-action-plans-swaps>)?

4. Coordinated with and supported by installation/Military Service personnel.

- Has the pre-proposal received support by the Natural Resources/Environmental or other appropriate entity?
- If the pre-proposal supports bird or herpetofauna objectives, has it been coordinated with the DoD PIF or DoD PARC representatives?
- NOTE: Verbal support is sufficient for pre-proposals. *Letters of support are required for FULL proposals.*

5. Promotes species of concern, candidates, species at risk, or their associated habitats.

- Does the pre-proposal provide a benefit to species or habitats that are not yet federally listed as Threatened or Endangered?
- Do focal species in the pre-proposal coincide with DoD priority species?

6. Is scientifically valid.

- Does the proposed project intend to use established, validated scientific methods or approaches?
- If the pre-proposal intends to develop new methods or approaches, do they appear sound?

7. Return on investment.

- Will the proposed project provide tangible results that can be integrated into and improve installation INRMPS?
- Does the proposed project intend to save resources (time, dollars, person-hours)?
- Is the pre-proposal's cost justifiable in terms of its anticipated benefits?